WARGAME FIRST REVIEW

Ukrainian Crisis	****
Ukrainian Crisis	****

Period	Modern	Publisher	Hollandspiele
Conflict	Russia/Ukaine 2014	Designer	Brian Train
Force Size	Army vs Army	Date	2015
Mvr Units	~Bde?	Grid Size	Area. ~100km
Turn Dur.	~ week/~month (variable), 9 turns	Map Size	c. 1000km x 500km
			~20 areas
Players	2 (Ukraine, Russia)		

Introduction

Subject of the game	The Grey Zone war in the build up to the Donbas war in 2014,		
	and any resulting kinetic engagement (not limited to Donbas)		
Scope	Whole conflict, on Diplomatic, Information and Military domains		
	(so ¾ of DIME)		
Presentation	Print and play available		
	(https://brtrain.wordpress.com/2014/03/16/a-new-pnp-game-		
	ukrainian-crisis/)		
	or box set from Hollandspiele \$45.		
	Good quality graphics. Clear rules		
Components	A3 map, one counter sheet, 132 counters, QRS, 9pp rules. Good		
	designer notes.		
Designer's focus/objectives	Designed over a weekend Mar 2014, mid crisis. "Conduct		
	journalism in the form of a wargame"		
	VPs emphasised in E Ukraine so as to focus effort there. Combat		
	turn options could be used to clear insurgents out. SF very		
	generic – ie Grey Zone.		
Overall system description	Each turn players decide Minor, Moderate, Maximum effort in		
	each of Diplomatic, Information and Military – which through D6		
	give RPs. Military is about mobilisation and deployment,		
	Diplomatic is shifting other country support, Information is		
	shifting prestige. 2 random events cards each turn. A player can		
	declare a combat turn, which is a variable number of combat		
	turns, based on allocated RPs for the turn/effort.		
Real Battle Notes	Russian backed forces took part of Donetsk and Luhansk Oblasts,		
	and then with ceasefire and Minsk agreement became a		
	frozen/low intensity conflict until the war of 2022.		

The Game System

Principal areas of reality	The Grey Zone model of trying to manage/effect Prestige and		
represented in the game	shift support from other countries. Ability to potentially win		
	purely by non-kinetic wins.		
Important abstractions	Everything? Especially the Information actions.		
Intricacy of the system, and	Pretty simple mechanics. All D6 based. Prestige links Military and		
the mechanical ease of play	Information actions. Diplomatic gives some more scope for		
	actions in any field.		
Evaluation of the system's	Wargaming as journalism may be a good description. Its very		
success at achieving the	much an education type of game, but possibly even lighter than		
designer's goals and	that. A bit more like a short "explainer" piece, giving you a sense		
representing the real	of the geography, players, options and interplay of these things		
situation	called Diplomatic, Military and Information – but none to a level		
	to give you anything but the broadest appreciation.		
Contributions to the	The use of the DIM(E) model is novel.		
wargaming state of the art	Prestige acting as a counterbalance – in similar way to DEFCON		
	but more refined.		
	The choice in combat mode between Kinetic, Prestige/Symbolic		
	and Asymmetric combat.		
	The ability to switch between Strategic and Combat turns – with		
	different play options for both.		
	Overkill as collateral damage		
Improvements?	Doesn't really need any. Probably pretty easy to break/distort.		
	Adding an Economy track would be the obvious to make full		
	DIME. DIMEFIL a bit too much of a stretch.		
	Something a bit more from the Diplomatic track.		
	Too easy to demote countries in comparison to effort to		
	promote – so seemed spend there was wasted.		

The Game in Play

Play time	~60-90 mins if really understand it.		
Player roles	Ukraine and Russia		
Types of decisions required	Which of DIM to emphasis, 1,2 or all 3.		
	How to assign limited effort chits per turn across DIM, and		
	whether to have enough Maximum for later in the game (if		
	that's the strategy)		
	How much to risk a low Prestige (0 = game over, but not		
	necessarily losing)		
	Where/when to deploy troops		
	How to group troops for battles/when to fight		
	Which battle mode to use		
Effects of the game system's	Limited chit pool, need to plan ahead		
mechanical requirements on	Once in combat turn can't deploy troops, so need to be deployed		
the player's decision making	before that happens		
	Almost all combat actions have Prestige risk		
Evaluation of the player's	Good game, could be pretty slick after multiple plays. Almost		
experience	chess like in terms of trying to experiment with different		
	approaches/emphasis to DIM and to early/flat/late strategies.		
	But with some appreciation of Donbas and DIME already don't		
	think I learnt more, but did generate an appreciation for how to		
	design Grey Zone games.		

Overall Evaluation

Does the game work?	Yes, very slick
(Veracity)	
Does it have real world	At a "journalism" level and introducing people to the terrain in
validity?/Insights?	all its aspects, and the concept of DIME. No real insights beyond
	that.
Is it a good game?	Yes, 4/5
Who would be most	Anyone wanting an appreciation of Ukraine situation in 2014
interested in the game?	(now changed of course) or of DIM(E).
Repeatability	Lots, in terms of trying different strategies
Is the game good value?	Yep, especially the £0 print-and-play

MECHANICS (Combat Turn only, NOT urban focussed test)

Aspect	Mechanic
Activation/C&C	RP based on Effort Level and D6, one RP per move. Combat free.
Movement	1 zone only
Direct Fire	Single combat mechanic, 5+ to hit,
Damage	2 damage levels – neutralised and KO
Assault	In DF
Indirect Fire	In DF
Air Support	Not represented. Does have airborne to place anywhere.

Engineers	n/a
CEMA	n/a
Morale	n/a
Civilians	Any overkill reduces Prestige to reflect collateral damage
Subterranean	n/a
Urban Specifics	n/a
Other Notable	n/a

[Per scenario/run through]

Date	16 & 23 Mar	Scenario	Default
Players	DB	Constraints	None

SET-UP

As per standard scenario		

HOW IT PLAYED

Rolled Détente on first turn which in theory meant the game was over! Ignored and carried on. In early turns Russia played hard on Information and rapidly brought Ukraine down into single figures. Ukraine had to spend on Diplomatic to recover.

Ukraine tried best to promote countries to supporters, but very hard (esp to get to Intervention), and a lot easier for Russia to bat them back down again, so tended to switch away from diplomacy. Molodva then came out to support Russia, and Ukraine then drew the Fuck the EU card! Finally managed to get GB to top. Decided that I'd play the last 3 turns as combat turns, so both sides had deployed enough to play with. Combats were focussed in eastern Ukraine most with 1-2 regular units and 1-2 irregulars. Mix of each style of combat, but several KO's and Symbolic wins meant that both sides prestige plummeted and ended the first combat phase with Russia on 0. The 2nd combat phase then got last-turn syndrome with Ukraine moving irregulars into adjoining areas to claim the VPs, but again Prestige too a hit, with Ukraine also falling to 0 (despite getting plusses for further Russia kills), and in the end Russia actually ended up on +1 and Ukraine on 0. That meant game over at end of Turn 7.

RESULTS

Despite last-turn effect Ukraine won by 8 VP to 0, same as it was at end of Combat Turn 1. Counts as Draw – so possibly realistic!

THOUGHTS (c.f. RL AND URBAN)

I backed off the Prestige strategy but suspect that Russia could have got a Prestige kill early on, but would have needed the Irregulars on the map to get the VPs to win. Belarussia declared for Russia.

Dice rolls seemed to be very low, so often on a maximum effort action I'd get less than on Moderate – seemed unbalanced.

Otherwise as mentioned above gave some good ideas about how to design Grey Zone games, and would reward replay to test out different DIME and time strategies, but not sure how much more I actually learnt.

IMAGES



